

# **AGENDA**

Notice is hereby given for the ORDINARY Meeting of Council commencing at 7:00PM

on

22 JULY, 2019

at the

Kalgoorlie Town Hall



# **NOTICE OF MEETING**

An Ordinary Council meeting, of the City of Kalgoorlie-Boulder will be held in the Kalgoorlie Town Hall on Monday, 22 July 2019 commencing at 7:00pm.

Regards

JOHN WALKER

**Chief Executive Officer** 

# **Table of Contents**

Item	Subject	Page No
1	DECLARATION OF OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS	1
2	OPENING PRAYER	1
3	DISCLAIMER READING	1
4	RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSE (PREVIOUSLY APPROVED)	_
5	RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN NOTICE	
6	PUBLIC ACCESS AND PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	2
7	PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS	2
8	NOTATIONS OF INTEREST	2
8.1	INTEREST AFFECTING IMPARTIALITY CITY OF KALGOORLIE-BOUL	
8.2	FINANCIAL INTEREST LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT SECTION 5.60A	2
8.3	PROXIMITY INTEREST LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT SECTION 5.60B	2
9	APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE	2
10	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PERSON PRESIDING WITHOUSCUSSIONS	
11	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	2
12	MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN	2
13	URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY THE PERSON PRESIDING BY DECISION	_
14	REPORTS OF COMMITTEES	3
14.1	ALL PURPOSE COMMITTEE MINUTES - 15 JULY 2019	3
	14.1.1 DEVELOP PLAN TO FIGHT FIFO	3
	14.1.2 REVIEW OF COMMUNITY EXPENDITURE	5
15	REPORTS OF OFFICERS	9
15.1	GENERAL MANAGER – INFRASTRUCTURE AND ENVIRONMENT	9

	15.1.1 CBD PUBLIC RECYCLING PILOT - RESULTS RECOMMENDATIONS	
15.2	CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	13
	15.2.1 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE FOR THE MONTH OF JUNE 2019	13
	15.2.2 STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY - MAY 2019	15
16	QUESTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN	20
17	INFORMATION BULLETIN	21
17.1	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER	21
	17.1.1 INFORMATION ITEM 22 JULY 2019	21
18	CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS	23
19	DATE OF NEXT MEETING	23
20	CLOSURE	23

#### **DECLARATION OF OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS** 1

#### 2 **OPENING PRAYER**

To be conducted by Father Brennan of the Catholic Church.

#### 3 **DISCLAIMER READING**

The Mayor will read the disclaimer to those present.

contained The recommendations in this Agenda are Officer's Recommendations only and should not be acted upon until Council has resolved to adopt those recommendations.

The resolutions of Council should be confirmed by perusing the Minutes of the Council Meeting at which these recommendations were considered.

Members of the public should also note that they act at their own risk if they enact any resolution prior to receiving official written notification of Council's decision.

#### 1 RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF

-	INEGGIND OI	/// / E/18//// 0E00/E6/// E	O.
	ABSENCE (PREVIO	OUSLY APPROVED)	
	IN ATTENDANCE:		

**MEMBERS OF STAFF:** 

**VISITORS:** 

PRESS:

**APOLOGIES - ELECTED MEMBERS:** 

**APOLOGIES - MEMBERS OF STAFF:** 

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE:** 

Cr Allan Pendal

5 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

- 6 PUBLIC ACCESS AND PUBLIC QUESTION TIME
- 7 PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS
- 8 NOTATIONS OF INTEREST
- 8.1 INTEREST AFFECTING IMPARTIALITY CITY OF KALGOORLIE-BOULDER CODE OF CONDUCT
- 8.2 FINANCIAL INTEREST LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT SECTION 5.60A
- 8.3 PROXIMITY INTEREST LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT SECTION 5.60B
- 9 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE
- 10 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PERSON PRESIDING WITHOUT DISCUSSIONS
- 11 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Minutes of Ordinary Council Meeting held on 8 July 2019

That the minutes of the **Ordinary** meeting held on 8 July 2019 be confirmed as a true record of that meeting.

- 12 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN
  Nil.
- 13 URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY THE PERSON PRESIDING OR BY DECISION

#### 14 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

#### 14.1 ALL PURPOSE COMMITTEE MINUTES - 15 JULY 2019

#### 14.1.1 DEVELOP PLAN TO FIGHT FIFO

Responsible Officer: John Walker

**Chief Executive Officer** 

Author: John Walker

**Chief Executive Officer** 

Disclosure of Interest: Nil

**VOTING REQUIREMENTS** 

Simple

#### OFFICER/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

#### That Council:

- 1. Note the lack of flow on benefits to the community of Kalgoorlie-Boulder from current mining operations and the diminishing support of mining companies to our community.
- 2. Continue its strong opposition to the growth in the FIFO workforce in our region; in particular the tactics of mining companies and their contractors to ignore the benefits of a residential workforce and in many cases encourage local residents to relocate outside of Kalgoorlie-Boulder to maintain work.
- 3. Agree to commission appropriate external research into all aspects of the effects of FIFO on the community of Kalgoorlie-Boulder and the health, mental health and social wellbeing of FIFO workers.
- 4. Continue to develop strategies and plans to combat the negative effects of FIFO workforces and to ensure Kalgoorlie-Boulder receives greater benefits from the economic activity occurring in its region.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Council, at its strategic retreat, accepted the challenge under the Public Policy section to "Develop Plans to Fight FIFO". Cr Ayers has raised the issue again for discussion with a view to creating actions.

Council has recently lodged a submission to the Productivity Commission review on FBT and Remote Area Tax, and has regularly raised the detrimental effect that FIFO is having on our City.

It is clear however, that Kalgoorlie's issues are applicable to only us in WA. Our position is unique and therefore needs a new way of thinking.

#### COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN LINKS

This report links to the Strategic Community Plan through the Community's Guiding Principles to be a strong and vibrant community that plans for a diversified future.

#### **BUDGET IMPLICATIONS**

There are no financial implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### **REPORT**

The issues surrounding FIFO and the effects it is having on our City during a time when the economy is thriving and the City is not have been canvassed widely. The reality however is that we are losing the battle at this time and that no other WA regional City is as affected as we are. The non-resource cities are supplying labour to those resource areas that depend on FIFO. The resource cities in the North West are being inundated with both resource projects and requests for labour camps. Rio Tinto has embarked on a PR campaign pointing out the enormous benefits to regional towns and cities through its FIFO programs. The state government is not responding to the issue with any legislation or plan (with the exception of some action on mental health).

Council at its retreat recognised the problem and agreed to develop plans. Cr Ayers has suggested we look at other areas such as manipulation of the employer/employee cost base and packaging incentives.

The matter is listed for consideration, ideas and planning.

#### STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

There are no statutory implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT CONSULTATION

No community consultation was considered necessary in relation to the recommendations of the report.

#### 14.1.2 REVIEW OF COMMUNITY EXPENDITURE

Responsible Officer: Holly Phillips

**General Manager City Living** 

Author: Holly Phillips

**General Manager City Living** 

Disclosure of Interest: Nil

**VOTING REQUIREMENTS** 

Simple

#### OFFICER/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

#### That Council:

1. Agree to a review of strategy and policies on Community Expenditure being conducted and completed by March 2020.

- 2. Seek information, policies and procedures from RCAWA and other relevant Councils to assist in formatting new policies and guidelines for community expenditure.
- 3. Invite individual submissions and suggestions from Councillors on the issue by 31 January 2020.
- 4. Review its events calendar and expenditure to ensure it is relevant to the current needs of the community.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

On 13 May 2019 Council, upon recommendation from the All Purpose Committee, resolved for the All Purpose Committee to conduct a detailed review of strategy and areas of community expenditure at a dedicated meeting.

The intent of the review is to consider the City's ongoing approach in making payments to the community and whether current practices represent the best and most effective way to expend money.

#### COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN LINKS

This report links to the Strategic Community Plan through the Community's Guiding Principles to be a strong and vibrant community that plans for a diversified future.

### **BUDGET IMPLICATIONS**

There are no financial implications resulting from the recommendations of the report, albeit the purpose of the report is to review the extent of community expenditure.

Council has retained the same quantum of funds for community-based expenditure in the 2019/20 budget as it had for 2018/19.

#### **REPORT**

\*\*Report of All Purpose Committee Meeting 15 April 2019\*\*

As part of its municipal deliberations, Council allocates annual expenditure to community activities.

Allocations are made either through the adoption of the annual budget or as opportunities materialise during the course of the year, under the delegated authority of Council or the Chief Executive Officer.

The City's traditional finance practises however focus on program-based allocations within the budget structure rather than an assessment of cumulative impact of expenditure across different functions of the organisation.

Further, many historical commitments are being 'rolled-over' year to year and accepted as ongoing activities without regular review or holistic impact evaluation.

It has become increasingly difficult to answer the question 'How much do we spend on community activities?'

### Scope of the Review

As a step towards understanding the extent of expenditure, a desktop review was undertaken by officers in April 2019 of the following areas:

- Sponsorships;
- Memorandums of Understanding;
- Community Assistance Scheme;
- Event and Partnership Expenses; and
- Other.

#### **Out of Scope**

There are many areas which still require detailed analysis to assess the full extent of expenditure which fell outside the scope of the initial review:

- Wages:
- Labour overheads;
- Administration costs:
- Capital expenditure;
- Income sources;
- In-kind expenditure;
- Core service delivery/operations;
- Goldfields Arts Centre programs:
- General maintenance activities; and
- Grant schemes such as the Heritage and Kidsport programs.

#### **Description of Areas Considered**

For the purpose of the report, expenses were collated and categorised under the following areas:


 Sponsorships – event sponsorship, sporting and community donations, economic development sponsorships, charity golf days.

- Memorandums of Understanding commitments covered by agreements with community groups.
- Community Assistance Scheme the City's annual, community and outstanding individual grants programs.
- Event and Partnerships events, partnerships and initiatives coordinated by the Arts and Cultural Development and Healthy Communities Teams.
- Other all other special contributions, subsidies and fee waivers.

#### **Total Expenditure**

Total	\$1,946,780
Other	\$313,619
Events and Partnerships	\$671,805
Community Assistance Scheme	\$601,836
MOUs	\$12,000
Sponsorships	\$347,520

Figure 1.1 Expenditure by Area



Detail on the expenditure relating to each area is outlined in the attachment to this report.

#### **Matters for Discussion**

There are many factors which warrant discussion on the extent of the City's current expenditure such as:

• Future influence of the City's new Strategic Community Plan on decision making and resource allocation;

- Expectations of existing grants and sponsorship recipients for funding continuance;
- Suitability of current delegations for discretionary contributions;
- Community expectations for 'value for money' expenditure;
- Realignment of expenditure to strategic objectives in the areas of liveability and economic diversification;
- · Outdated and resource-intensive events and grants programs; and
- Lack of best case monitoring and evaluation of holistic expenditure.

#### STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

There are no statutory implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT CONSULTATION

No community consultation was considered necessary in relation to the recommendations of the report.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Community Expenditure Profile

#### 15 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

#### 15.1 GENERAL MANAGER – INFRASTRUCTURE AND ENVIRONMENT

# 15.1.1 CBD PUBLIC RECYCLING PILOT - RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Responsible Officer: Stuart Devenish

**General Manager Infrastructure &** 

**Environment** 

Author: Dylan Martini

**Waste Management Team Leader** 

Disclosure of Interest: Nil

**VOTING REQUIREMENTS** 

Simple

#### OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That Council endorse a rollout of public recycling infrastructure across the Kalgoorlie CBD as part of the Kalgoorlie City Centre Economic Transformation project.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This report has been prepared to provide Council with the findings of the Kalgoorlie CBD Public Recycling Pilot Project, and to outline recommendations on how a permanent rollout of recycling in the CBD can be facilitated.

As a result of the pilot program it was encouraging to find that recycling in the CBD area was supported by the public. This was evidenced by moderate participation (46%) and decreased contamination over time; however, Kingsbury Park did not perform as well with lower participation (24%) and increased levels of contamination over time.

Based on the findings of the pilot program it is recommended that the City maintains two (2) recycling wheelie bins in the CBD until such time as the Kalgoorlie City Centre Economic Transformation project is completed.

A rollout of recycling infrastructure in parks is not recommended at this stage, however, recycling initiatives in destination parks during community events and functions can be maintained.

In readiness for the CBD upgrades, officers will prepare a bin infrastructure design guideline to standardise the appearance of public recycling and refuse bins across the City. The objective of the guideline is to ensure the community is well informed on how to use the bins correctly, to encourage people to minimise waste and ensure a high standard of presentation of bins within high profile areas.

While the City's contractor Cleanaway has facilitated the trial at no extra cost, the City will be liable for the costs of the ongoing service. This can be accommodated

under established contract terms.

#### **COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN LINKS**

This report links to the Strategic Community Plan through the Community's Guiding Principles to adopt environmental best practice that is sustainable.

#### **BUDGET IMPLICATIONS**

Costs associated with collection of two recycling bins in the City Centre are \$751.92 per annum which can be accommodated under existing contract arrangements. Future costs associated with the installation of recycling infrastructure in the CBD will be considered as part of the Kalgoorlie City Centre Economic Transformation project.

#### **REPORT**

#### **Background**

The CBD recycling pilot was undertaken in response to a request from a community member who wanted to know why the City was not recycling in public areas. The objective of the pilot was to determine the viability of rolling out permanent public infrastructure to support recycling and waste minimisation in Kalgoorlie-Boulder.

The pilot concentrated on high pedestrian usage areas of the CBD (St Barbara Square) and Kingsbury Park. Two recycling wheelie bins were located at each site adjacent to general rubbish bins. Recycling bins were clearly branded and displayed informational material on what can and can't be recycled. The bins were emptied on Monday, Wednesday and Friday each week. Data was collected for 12 weeks to determine the effectiveness of recycling and the viability of investing in permanent infrastructure.

#### **Results of Recycling Pilot**

#### **Participation rates**

Recycling participation is measured as the filled volume of the bin prior to being collected. The results demonstrate that participation was higher in the CBD (46%) than Kingsbury Park (24%), with an increasing trend over time observed in the CBD but not Kingsbury Park (**Figure 1**).




Figure 1: Recycling participation over the trial period

#### **Contamination rates**

Recycling contamination is measured by the volume of non-recyclables out of total filled volume of the bin. Contamination was approximately equal in both locations, however the CBD (29%) showed a decreasing trend over time, while Kingsbury Park (23%) showed an increasing trend (Figure 2). Key contaminants included pizza boxes, coffee cups and soft plastics.




Figure 2: Contamination of recycling bins over the trial period

#### Recommendations

Based on the findings of the pilot program it is recommended that the City maintains two (2) recycling wheelie bins in the CBD until such time as the Kalgoorlie City Centre Economic Transformation project is completed, when the standard and placement of bins can be reviewed. A rollout of recycling infrastructure in parks is not recommended at this stage given the lower participation rate and level of contamination. Recycling initiatives in destination parks during community events and functions can however be supported.

Separately, officers will prepare a bin infrastructure design guideline to standardise the appearance of public recycling and refuse bins across the City. The objective of the guideline is to ensure the community is well informed on how to use the bins correctly, to encourage people to minimise waste and to ensure a high standard of presentation. This can be achieved by adopting designs guidelines consistent with Australian Standards for colour and labelling, as shown in **Figure 3**.



Figure 3: Examples of bin infrastructure complying with Australian Standards

#### STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

There are no statutory implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### **COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT CONSULTATION**

No community consultation was considered necessary in relation to the recommendations of the report.

#### 15.2 CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

#### 15.2.1 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE FOR THE MONTH OF JUNE 2019

Responsible Officer: Ivana Castle

**Chief Financial Officer** 

Author: Casey Radford

**Finance & Compliance Coordinator** 

Disclosure of Interest: Nil

**VOTING REQUIREMENTS** 

Simple

#### OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That Council receive the list of payments totalling \$4,565,210.36 as presented for the month of June 2019.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The purpose of this report is to receive the list of payments made from the Municipal and Trust funds including a summary report of the Corporate Credit Card transactions incurred by authorised card holders.

The Chief Executive Officer has been delegated the power to make payments from the Municipal and Trust funds in accordance with budget allocations. The City provides payment facilities to suppliers either by cheque, electronic funds transfer (EFT), and credit card.

#### **COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN LINKS**

This report links to the Strategic Community Plan through the Community's Guiding Principles to demonstrate a transparent and inclusive local government.

#### **BUDGET IMPLICATIONS**

There are no financial implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### **REPORT**

Attached to this report are the lists of all cheques and EFT payments made during the month of June 2019 and a list of corporate credit card transactions by card holder of the same period totalling \$4,565,210.36.

\$ 4,152,604.67
\$ 65,394.98
\$ 224,348.42
\$ 94380.96
\$ 205.00
\$ 13,834.33
\$ \$ \$ \$

Credit Cards \$ 13,992.00 **Total** \$ **4,565,210.36** 

#### STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

The Accounts Payable for the Month of June 2019 has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations* 1996.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

All purchases by authorised officers are to be completed in accordance with Policy CORP AP 001– Purchasing.

#### **COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT CONSULTATION**

No community consultation was considered necessary in relation to the recommendations of the report.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Trust Cheques June 19

Trust EFT's June 19

Municipal Cheques June 19

Municipal EFT June 19

Direct Debits Payments June19

Debit Card Payments June 19 🖺

Credit Card Payments June 19

#### 15.2.2 STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY - MAY 2019

Responsible Officer: Xandra Curnock

**Financial Accountant** 

Author: Ishani Subaharan

**Corporate Accountant** 

Disclosure of Interest: Nil

**VOTING REQUIREMENTS** 

**Absolute** 

#### OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That Council in accordance with Regulation 34 of the *Local Government* (*Financial Management*) *Regulations 1996*, receive the Statement of Financial Activity for the period ending 31 May 2019.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

In accordance with regulation 34 of the *Local Government (Financial Management)* Regulations 1996 ("**the Regulations**"), the City is to prepare a monthly Statement of Financial Activity for approval by Council. Attached for consideration is the completed Statement of Financial Activity for the period ending 31 May 2019.

It is noted that the delay in reporting in this format has little meaning given end of year accounts and 2019/20 budgeting.

#### COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN LINKS

This report links to the Strategic Community Plan through the Community's Guiding Principles to demonstrate a transparent and inclusive local government.

#### **BUDGET IMPLICATIONS**

There are no budget implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### REPORT

The Statement of Financial Activity was introduced by the Department of Local Government from 1 July 2005; the change was implemented to provide elected members with a better idea of operating and capital revenues and expenditures. It was also intended to link operating results with balance sheet items and reconcile with the end of month balances.

In accordance with the Regulations, a report must be compiled on variances greater than the percentage agreed by Council which is currently plus (+) or minus (-) 10% or \$50,000, whichever is the greater.

It is noted that the delay in reporting in this format has little meaning given end of year accounts and 2019/20 budgeting.

For the year to date to 31 May 2019, income is over budget by 1.71% and expenditure is under budget by 4.35%, commentary is provided at sub program level. A nil variance means that the year to date actual value is identical to the year to date budget estimate. Comments are therefore provided where the variances value is > 10% and > \$50,000 under or over budget.

#### **INCOME CATEGORIES**

Overall stated income is within the allowable variance as dictated by Council, being 1.71%, \$1,246,195 over budget. The sub programs which are outside the allowable variance is as follows.

#### **Other General Purpose Funding**

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
Other General Purpose Funding	\$1,462,672	\$1,718,249	255,577

More funds were received from Federal Assistance Grants Scheme than budgeted.

#### Preventive Services - Inspection/Admin

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
Preventive Services – Inspection/Admin	\$417,986	\$475,927	\$57,941

The main variance in this subprogram relates to timing. This should correct itself before year end, 30 June 2019.

#### **HACC**

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
HACC	\$1,554,736	\$1,803,000	\$248,264

More Grant funds were received than budgeted.

#### **Other Culture**

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
Other Culture	\$713,133	\$495,575	-\$217,559

The main variance in this subprogram relates to timing of Goldfields Art Centre income. This should correct itself before year end, 30 June 2019.

### **Construction – Road Bridges Depots**

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
Construction - Road Bridges Depots	\$1,110,658	\$2,405,325	\$1,294,668

This is a timing variance relating to \$804k of Regional Road works being completed and invoiced in May 2019.

#### **Economic Development**

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
<b>Economic Development</b>	\$926,948	\$465,384	-\$461,564

This is mainly due to timing delay in \$500k grant from WA Treasury re Hannan Street Revitalisation program. This will now be received in FY 2020.

#### Unclassified

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
Unclassified	\$13,379	\$133,367	\$119,988

The main variance in this subprogram relates to insurance reimbursement for Oasis solar heating panels, which will be offset by an expense. This is not additional revenue.

#### **EXPENSE CATEGORIES**

Overall stated expenditure is within the allowable variance as dictated by Council, being 4.35% \$2,769,110 under budget. The sub programs which are outside the allowable variance is as follows.

# Aged and Disabled – Senior citizens Centres

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
Aged and Disabled – Senior citizens Centres	\$636,520	\$572,560	\$63,960

The variance in this subprogram relates to a misalignment of profiling of the budget. This will be adjusted next month, the full year budget is in line with expectations.

#### Other Welfare

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
Other Welfare	\$553,893	\$484,325	\$69,568

The variance in this subprogram relates to a misalignment of profiling of the budget. This will be adjusted next month, the full year budget is in line with expectations.

#### Other Culture

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
Other Culture	\$2,384,879	\$1,880,681	\$504,198

The main variance in this subprogram relates to the timing of Goldfields Art Centre expenses, (\$215K), and events (\$96K). This will correct itself before year end, and does not represent savings.

#### **Tourism & Area Promotion**

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
<b>Tourism &amp; Area Promotion</b>	\$939,546	\$825,488	\$114,058

The variance in this subprogram relates to a misalignment of profiling of the budget. This will be adjusted next month, the full year budget is in line with expectations.

#### **Economic Development**

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
<b>Economic Development</b>	\$1,048,826	\$914,108	\$134,718

The variance in this subprogram relates to a misalignment of profiling of the budget. This will be adjusted next month, the full year budget is in line with expectations.

#### **Plant Operation Costs**

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
Plant Operation Costs	\$224,150	\$142,566	\$81,584

The variance in this subprogram relates to a misalignment of profiling of the budget. This will be adjusted next month, the full year budget is in line with expectations.

#### **Business Unit Operations**

Description	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance
<b>Business Unit Operations</b>	\$721,232	\$639,661	\$81,571

The variance in this subprogram relates to a misalignment of profiling of the budget. This will be adjusted next month, the full year budget is in line with expectations.

#### **CAPITAL CATEGORIES**

May 2019 capital expenditure is under budget by \$5,339,568 YTD. Actual YTD expenditure is \$9,363,215 versus budgeted YTD spend of \$14,702,783.

The main variance is in Infrastructure - Roads (\$2,119,658), and is due to a delay in the scheduling budgeted works programs. This is a timing difference, and it is envisaged that all scheduled works will be completed by 30 June 2019.

Land and Buildings variance of (\$1,364,412) is largely due to a delay in budgeted works programs for EGCC Roof replacement (\$195K) and the Golf course club house project (\$55K). These projects have experienced delays, subsequently provision has been made in the 2020 budget to allow for this. At this stage both projects look as though they will start next financial year.

Light vehicles and Plant & Equipment variances of (\$41,388) and \$213,461 is a timing difference, this will be spent by 30 June 2019.

Infrastructure – Airport has a variance of \$50K which is a timing difference for the Airport revetment program. This program will be completed by 30 June 2019.

Infrastructure Parking has a variance of (\$270,710) which is due to small delays in the program. This is a timing difference only.

Infrastructure Drainage has a variance of (\$518,542) which is a result of advancement in the program due to re-prioritisation of drainage works projects.

The remaining variances are largely due to timing differences in the budgeted capital programs, including Investment Property (\$597,962), Infrastructure - Parks (\$301,270), Infrastructure - Effluent (\$138,500) and Infrastructure - Footpaths (\$179,085).

#### STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

The Statement of Financial Activity has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Regulations.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### **COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT CONSULTATION**

No community consultation was considered necessary in relation to the recommendations of the report.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Statement of Financial Activity - May 2019

AGENDA 22 JULY 2019 QUESTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN 16

#### 17 INFORMATION BULLETIN

#### 17.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

#### **17.1.1 INFORMATION ITEM 22 JULY 2019**

Responsible Officer: John Walker

**Chief Executive Officer** 

Author: Karen Theaker

**Governance Officer** 

Disclosure of Interest: Nil

**VOTING REQUIREMENTS** 

Simple

#### OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That Council receive the information.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The purpose of this report is to keep Elected Members informed on items for information as received by the City.

#### **COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN LINKS**

This report links to the Strategic Community Plan through the Community's Guiding Principles to demonstrate a transparent and inclusive local government.

#### **BUDGET IMPLICATIONS**

There are no financial implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### **REPORT**

The City of Kalgoorlie-Boulder regularly receives and produces information for receipt by the Elected Members.

INFORMATION ITEM:	DATE:
PDRS Information Bulletin	June 2019
Graffiti Report	June 2019

#### STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

There are no statutory implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications resulting from the recommendations of this report.

## **COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT CONSULTATION**

No community consultation was considered necessary in relation to the recommendations of the report.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Graffiti Report 🖫

PDRS Report

## **18 CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS**

Nil.

## 19 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next Ordinary Council Meeting will be on Monday 12 August 2019.

# 20 CLOSURE